

Subject: [RE]FIRST DRAFT FOR YOUR COMMENTS

From: "Margot Chappell" <parkdreams@lycos.com>

Date: Wed, 07 Oct 2009 00:04:55 -0400 (EDT)

To: "John D Roth" <jroth4@comcast.net>, <LBERUL@yahoo.com>, <LULUP722@aol.com>, <judiseg@hotmail.com>

CC: "Bill Delmar" <DelmarCondo@aol.com>, <fjv@bbt-law.com>, <Llionel55@aol.com>, "Ron Cunningham" <rgcunningham@comcast.net>, "AARON COHEN" <grayceaaron@msn.com>, "Steve Oksala" <ni3p@comcast.net>

John;

In the email that you entitled "A Little More Bickering Before We Move Forward," you mentioned the motion of the BOD that established our committee. "Motion: that a committee be established to investigate the desires of the owners with regard to dogs in the building, and that Mr. Roth be asked to chair the committee and report back to the board with results (Cohen, McCarthy). passed (5-0)."

By order of the BOD all we are suppose to do is collect comments about dogs in the building and report back -- period! This we have done. I feel that commenting on your draft "Recommendation of the 9400 Pet Committee" is above my pay grade and beyond the mandate of the BOD for the committee.

VERY IMPORTANT POINT! We all agree that the by-laws state that the only dogs that are allowed to be raised or live on a permanent basis in 9400 are small dogs. These small dogs are the only dogs required to be licensed by Ocean City. Under the OC Animal City Code, all other dogs would be licensed in their home town or county. Therefore, the only issue for the BOD's attorney to explain is visiting dogs.

Margot

-----[Received Mail Content]-----

Subject : FIRST DRAFT FOR YOUR COMMENTS

Date : Tue, 06 Oct 2009 21:54:37 -0400

>From : John D Roth <jroth4@comcast.net>

To : Margot Chappell <Parkdreams@lycos.com>, LAWRENCE BERUL <LBERUL@yahoo.com>, LULUP722@aol.com, John D Roth <jroth4@comcast.net>, Judi Segermark <judiseg@hotmail.com>

It's probably time to start thinking about the content of our recommendation to the BOD. Since we need a place to start, I've attached a first draft of a potential recommendation. Please review and let me know where you agree or disagree and what you think might need to be added or deleted. My comments in red are those that I recognize as needing substantial additional work. I've included them as placeholders.

Two additional points:

When/if we hear from our insurance agent there may be a need to make some changes. If I don't hear from the BOD member who is contacting the insurance agent, I'll approach the agent directly on Monday.

The November 1 date in the draft recommendation is not a fixed date. Again, just a starting point, but probably a good objective.

Your comments?

JR