

**Subject:** [RE]PRELIMINARY REPORT  
**From:** "Margot Chappell" <parkdreams@lycos.com>  
**Date:** Mon, 19 Oct 2009 01:17:34 -0400 (EDT)  
**To:** "John D Roth" <jroth4@comcast.net>

Thank you John. I have been able to receive both copies. BTW I noticed that in this recommendation you want something implemented by June 1, 2009. I noticed it before but I thought it would be cruel for me not to point that out so that your "recommendations" are rejected on the merits, not a typo!

The real cruelty is your ten year grandfathering proposal that "eliminate[s] all inappropriate dogs [within] ten years." That was not a typo because you know the life span of your Bichon Frise is around 15 years. But most current "inappropriate dogs" will still be alive in ten year. For instance, my dogs are puppies. What are you going to do after 10 years? Have storm troopers raid my condo with a shotgun and a bathroom scale? Oh yea, you don't care -- your dog is safe.

I reject your recommendation A in its entirety.

Instead, I recommend, like it has been since the erection of the condo, that the interpretation of "small dog" is left to each condo owner. I also agree with Bill Hunt's recent 10/13/09 post that suggests allowing pets of all sizes but giving the BOD the right to refuse to allow the owner to bring their pet if the animal becomes a problem. As Bill said: "This might be a good way to allow all well behaved pets to enjoy their time at the beach." Bill, a local realtor, also made the excellent point that most of the competing condos allow owners' dogs of any size. If we go your way and only allow small dogs, we will be diminishing the value of and market for our condos when we have pet restrictions that the other condos don't have. This is a greater financial risk, than your "concerns" about the financial liability of the condo association from a dog bite, especially now that the insurance agent has said the condo is covered with a \$1 million policy.

Margot

-----[ Received Mail Content ]-----  
Subject : PRELIMINARY REPORT  
Date : Sun, 18 Oct 2009 13:45:43 -0400  
>From : John D Roth <[jroth4@comcast.net](mailto:jroth4@comcast.net)>  
To : Margot Chappell <[Parkdreams@lycos.com](mailto:Parkdreams@lycos.com)>

Hi, Margot.

In case you're still having trouble opening the preliminary report, here are two new copies - one in Microsoft Word format and one in .pdf format. So we're starting on the same page, please let me know ASAP that you've received the report and have been able to read it. Then follow up with comments, criticisms or complete acceptance. For now, I just want to be sure you're able to read it.

FWIW, the Beruls responded from Israel this week. They feel the recommendation is reasonable.

Thanks .

JR