

**Subject:** [RE][Fwd: Pet Committee]  
**From:** "Margot Chappell" <parkdreams@lycos.com>  
**Date:** Wed, 07 Oct 2009 13:23:46 -0400 (EDT)  
**To:** "John D Roth" <jroth4@comcast.net>

Sorry John I must have been asleep at the wheel I missed Bill's resolution.

I know that you do not agree about the visiting dogs but I thought you agreed that only small dogs were allowed to reside at the condo- I could not have been that asleep.

Margot

-----[ Received Mail Content ]-----  
Subject : [Fwd: Pet Committee]  
Date : Wed, 07 Oct 2009 09:05:55 -0400  
>From : John D Roth <[jroth4@comcast.net](mailto:jroth4@comcast.net)>  
To : Margot Chappell <[Parkdreams@lycos.com](mailto:Parkdreams@lycos.com)>, Bill Delmar <[DelmarCondo@aol.com](mailto:DelmarCondo@aol.com)>, [fjv@bbt-law.com](mailto:fjv@bbt-law.com), AARON COHEN <[grayceaaron@msn.com](mailto:grayceaaron@msn.com)>, Joe McCarthy <[llionel55@verizon.net](mailto:llionel55@verizon.net)>, Ron Cunningham <[rgcunningham@comcast.net](mailto:rgcunningham@comcast.net)>, [ni3p@comcast.net](mailto:ni3p@comcast.net)

Margot - Please note the communication that came from the BOD several weeks after the original BOD resolution. It outlines responsibilities in excess of their original agreement.

You may recall that when you asked for the BOD resolution, I sent you the resolution and then included a comment that we had eventually reached a contentious agreement on the committee's task.

I can tell for sure that we did not all agree with your assertion that the by-laws allow anything other than small dogs. I certainly did not. I'm not sure anyone else said they agree.

If you choose not to comment on or contribute to the the draft recommendation, I'll be disappointed but will continue working on it. Please reconsider. Also, please let me know whether you would like me to indicate in the recommendation that you are not in agreement. I guess you can write a separate recommendation on your own if you so choose.

JR