

Subject: Margot's Attorney Interprets Our By-Laws For Us

From: John D Roth <jroth4@comcast.net>

Date: Tue, 22 Sep 2009 20:16:35 -0400

To: Margot Chappell <Parkdreams@lycos.com>, LAWRENCE BERUL <LBERUL@yahoo.com>, LULUP722@aol.com, John D Roth <jroth4@comcast.net>, Judi Segermark <judiseg@hotmail.com>, Bill Delmar <DelmarCondo@aol.com>, fjb@bbt-law.com, Llionel55@aol.com, Ron Cunningham <rgcunningham@comcast.net>, AARON COHEN <grayceaaron@msn.com>, Steve Oksala <ni3p@comcast.net>

Hello, Pet Committee and Board of Directors.

Committee Member Margot Chappell has apparently hired an attorney at her own expense to interpret the 9400 By-Laws for us. If I understand her correctly, our by-laws don't mean what we think they mean. Her attorney feels that our by-laws allow small dogs to stay at 9400 for an unlimited amount of time. All other dogs may stay at 9400 as long as they stay for 30 days or less. This does not sound to me like an appropriate interpretation but Margot sounds very confident.

Margot's opinion has been posted to the website (Committee Work) for your review.
<http://jroth4.home.comcast.net>

It's time for the BOD to make a decision. Do we accept this interpretation or do we have ***our*** attorney interpret the by-laws for us? I'm not at all comfortable moving forward unless we know the answer.

I ask that Margot reply immediately to the committee and BOD members indicating whether or not I have misrepresented her conclusions

I also request that the President poll BOD members by email and let me know whether we will consult our attorney at this time or not. Let me know whether we'll address this now or whether we'll delay until the October BOD meeting.

Thanks,

JR