

Subject: Re: Draft Recommendation
From: LAWRENCE BERUL <LBERUL@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 7 Oct 2009 13:52:34 -0700 (PDT)
To: John D Roth <jroth4@comcast.net>

yes

--- On **Wed, 10/7/09, John D Roth <jroth4@comcast.net>** wrote:

From: John D Roth <jroth4@comcast.net>
Subject: Draft Recommendation
To: "Margot Chappell" <Parkdreams@lycos.com>, "LAWRENCE BERUL" <LBERUL@yahoo.com>, LULUP722@aol.com, "John D Roth" <jroth4@comcast.net>, "Judi Segermark" <judiseg@hotmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, October 7, 2009, 1:56 PM

Margot - Now you have me confused...

I think my opinion of small dogs was shown in the draft recommendation. If our attorney says only small dogs are allowed, then grandfather in current larger dogs and begin to enforce the by-laws now as generally understood.

If our attorney agrees with your interpretation, then change the by-laws to eliminate the reference to small dogs (thus allowing dogs of any size.)

As to visiting dogs, if you mean dogs visiting with the child of an owner, I personally feel that this should be OK as long as the dogs are by-laws appropriate (to be determined) and the owner is resident for the duration of the visit.

I think that's what I said in the draft but it's been a busy week and I may have made a mistake. At any rate, it's what I intended to say.

Are you still with us for the recommendation phase?

JR